

# Second - Order Elliptic Equations

## (1) Preliminaries:

① Consider boundary-value problem:

$$\begin{cases} Lu = f \text{ in } U & u: \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial U & f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

$L$  is an operator defined by:

$$Lu = \begin{cases} -\sum_{i,j}^n (a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i})_{x_j} + \sum_i b^i(x)u_{x_i} + c(x)u, \text{ Divergence Form.} \\ -\sum_{i,j} a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i}x_j + \sum_i b^i(x)u_{x_i} + c(x)u, \text{ Nondivergence Form.} \end{cases}$$

Remark: Divergence Form is natural for energy method. Since it's convenient for integrating by part. Nondivergence form is fit for maximum principles.

Def:  $L$  is uniformly elliptic if  $\exists \theta > 0$ . const.

$$\text{st. } \sum a^{ij}(x)g_ig_j \geq \theta |g|^2, \forall g \in \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ a.e. } x.$$

Remark: It means  $(a^{ij}(x))_{n \times n}$  is positive definite.  
whose smallest eigenvalue  $\geq \theta$ .

$$\text{Cor. } \sum_{i,j} \sum_{k,l} a^{ij}(x) a^{kl}(x) g_{ik} g_{jl} \geq \theta^2 \sum_{i,j} g_{ij}^2$$

$$\text{Pf: Fix } i, j : \sum_{k,l} a^{kl} g_{ik} g_{jl} = g^i A g^{jT}$$

where  $A = (a_{ij}(x))_{n \times n}$ .  $S^i = (s_{ii}, \dots, s_{in})$

Suppose  $O$  is orthonormal, i.e.  $OA O^T = \text{diag}\{0_1, \dots, 0_n\}$ .  $\theta_k \geq 0$ .

Denote  $\eta_i = S^i O^T$ .  $\therefore S^i A S^{i T} = \sum_k \theta_k \eta_{ik} \eta_{ik} \geq \theta \eta^i \eta^i$

Repeat again. Since  $|n^i| = |\eta^i| \therefore \sum g_{ii}^2 = \sum n_{ii}^2$ .

### Interpretation in Physics:

- Second-order term  $\sum a^{ij}(x) u_{xi} u_{xj}$  represents the diffusion of  $u$  in  $U$ . ( $a^{ij}$ ) describes anisotropic, heterogeneous nature of medium.
- First-order term  $\sum b^i(x) u_{xi}$  represents transport in  $U$ .
- Zeroth-order term  $c(x) u(x)$  describes increase or depletion.

### ② Weak Solutions:

Suppose  $a^{ij}(x), b^i(x), c(x) \in L^\infty(\bar{U}), f \in L^2(\bar{U})$ .

For  $Lu = f$ , consider  $(Lu, v) = (f, v)$ . Test by  $v \in C_0^\infty(U)$ .

$$\Rightarrow \int_U \sum a^{ij} u_{xi} v_{xj} + \sum b^i u_{xi} v + c u v = \int_U f v \quad \text{dx}$$

since  $b^i$  approx. to  $H_0^1(U)$  in  $W^{1,2}(U)$ , replace  $\tilde{c}$  by  $c'$ .

Def:  $B[u, v]$  associated with divergence form  $L$  is:

$$B[u, v] = \int_U \sum a^{ij}(x) u_{xi} v_{xj} + \sum b^i(x) u_{xi} v + c(x) u v.$$

for  $\forall u, v \in H_0^1(U)$ .

We say  $u$  is weak solution of  $Lu = f$  if

$$B[u, v] = (f, v), \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(U).$$

Remark: For other boundary conditions  $\begin{cases} Lu = f \text{ in } U, \\ u = \gamma \text{ on } \partial U. \end{cases}$

Find  $w \in H^1(U)$ , s.t.  $w|_{\partial U} = \gamma$ .

$$\text{Solve } \begin{cases} L\tilde{u} = \tilde{f} \text{ in } U, \\ \tilde{u} = 0 \text{ on } \partial U \end{cases} \quad \tilde{u} = u - w, \quad \tilde{f} = f - Lw$$

## (2) Existence of weak solutions:

### ① Energy Estimate:

Thm. There exists  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ ,  $\gamma \geq 0$ , s.t.

$$|B_{[u,v]}| \leq \alpha \|u\|_{H_0^1(U)} \|v\|_{H_0^1(U)} \quad \text{for } u, v \in H_0^1(U).$$

$$\beta \|u\|_{H_0^1(U)}^2 \leq |B_{[u,u]}| + \gamma \|u\|_{L^2(U)}^2.$$

Pf. 1) The first one directly by Cauchy Inequality.

2) Apply Elliptic condition: (with Poincaré Ineq)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \int_U |Dw|^2 dx &\leq B_{[u,u]} + C \left( \int_U |Du| |u| + |u|^2 \right) \\ &\leq B_{[u,u]} + C \left( \frac{\epsilon}{2} |Du|^2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} |u|^2 + |u|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thm. (First existence Thm for weak solutions)

There exists unique  $u \in H_0^1(U)$ , weak solution

$$\text{for } \begin{cases} Lu + mu = f \text{ in } U \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial U. \end{cases} \quad \text{where } m \geq \gamma.$$

Pf. Let  $B_n[u, v] = B_{[u,v]} + m(u, v)$

$$\langle f, v \rangle = (f, v)_{L^2}$$

Remark: Note that  $\|f_i\|_0 \leq L^2(U)$ .

Since  $\langle f, v \rangle = \int_U f^0 v + \sum f_i v_{x_i}$  is BLO on  $H_0^1(U)$ .

$\therefore \begin{cases} Lu + nu = f^0 - \sum f_i v_{x_i} & \text{in } U \\ n = 0 & \text{on } \partial U \end{cases}$  has unique solution  
 $u$  in weak sense.

i.e.  $L + nI : H_0^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1$  isomorphism.

## ② Fredholm Alternative:

Def: i)  $L^*v = - \sum (a^{ij}(x)v_{x_j})_{x_i} - \sum b^i(x)v_{x_i} + (c - \sum b_{x_i}^i(x))v$ .

ii)  $B^*[v, u] = (L^*v, u) = (v, Lu) = B[v, u]$ .

iii)  $v$  is weak solution for  $\begin{cases} L^*v = f \text{ in } U \\ v = 0 \text{ on } \partial U \end{cases}$  if.

$$B^*[v, u] = (f, u), \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(U).$$

Remark: It's from:  $(Lu, v) = \sum a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i}v_{x_j} + \sum b^i(x)u_{x_i}v + cuv$   
 $= - \sum (a^{ij}(x)v_{x_j}(x))_{x_i}u - \sum b^i v_{x_i}u + (c - \sum b_{x_i}^i(x))uv$ .

## Thm. c) Second Existence Thm)

i) One of the following statements will hold:

$$(a) \begin{cases} Lu = f \text{ in } U \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial U \end{cases}$$

has unique weak solution  
 for  $\forall f \in L^2(U)$

$$(b) \begin{cases} Lu = 0 \text{ in } U \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial U \end{cases}$$

exists  $u \neq 0, u \in H_0^1(U)$

weak solution. Denote it  $N$ .

$$\text{ii)} N^* = \{v \mid L^*v = 0 \text{ in } U, \\ v = 0 \text{ on } \partial U\}.$$

$$\text{iii)} (a) \Leftrightarrow f \in N^*, \text{ i.e.} \\ (f, v) = 0, \quad \forall v \in N^*.$$

Then  $\lim N^* = \lim N$ .

Pf: 1) Choose  $m=1$ .  $L_1 u = L u + y u$ . Correspond By L. J.

$\forall f \in L^2(U)$ ,  $\exists u \stackrel{\Delta}{=} L_1^{-1} f$  solves it.

Check  $L_1^{-1}$  is linear.

2)  $\because B[u, v] = (f, v) \Leftrightarrow u = L_1^{-1}(y u + f)$

Denote  $k u = y L_1^{-1} u$ ,  $h = L_1^{-1} f$ ,  $u - k u = h$ .

3) Check  $k: L^2(U) \rightarrow L^2(U)$  is opt operator.

prove:  $k: L^2(U) \rightarrow H^1(U)$  is BLO. (Use By L. J.)

Apply  $H^1(U) \subset L^2(U)$ . attain subseq converges.

4) Apply Fredholm Alternative on  $u - k u = h$ .

$u - k u = 0 \Leftrightarrow u - h u = 0$ . Similar as  $u - k^* u = 0$

It has solution  $\Leftrightarrow (h, v) = 0$ ,  $\forall v \in N(I - k^*)$ .

$\Leftrightarrow (f, v) = 0$ . since  $(h, v) = \frac{1}{\gamma} (f, v)$ .

Remark: In this case. It holds when  $\lambda = 0$ .

for  $\lambda I - k$ ,  $k \in K \subset L^2(U)$ .

Thm. (Third Existence Thm).

i) There exists an at most countable set  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}'$ .

st.  $\begin{cases} Lu = \lambda u + f \text{ in } U \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial U \end{cases}$  has unique weak solution

for  $\forall f \in L^2(U)$ .  $\Leftrightarrow \lambda \notin \Sigma$ .

ii) If  $\Sigma$  is infinite. Then  $\Sigma = \{\lambda_k\} \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Denote:  $\Sigma$  is spectral of  $L$ .

Pf: It has unique solution  $\Leftrightarrow u \geq 0$  is the only solution of  $\begin{cases} Lu = \lambda u \text{ in } U \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial U \end{cases}$

$$\Leftrightarrow Lyu = (\gamma + \lambda)u. \Leftrightarrow u = Ly^{-1}(\gamma + \lambda)u = \frac{\gamma + \lambda}{\gamma}ku.$$

For  $\lambda \leq -\gamma$ . Then it holds.

For  $\lambda > -\gamma$ . Then  $\Leftrightarrow \frac{\gamma}{\gamma + \lambda}$  isn't eigenvalue of  $k$ .

Since  $k$  is cpt. operator. Apply FA.

Thm. (Bounded inverse)

If  $\lambda \notin \Sigma$ . Then there exists const.  $C$ . st.

$$\|u\|_{L^2(U)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(U)} \quad \text{for } \begin{cases} Lu = \lambda u + f \text{ in } U \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial U \end{cases}$$

$f \in L^2(U)$ ,  $u \in H_0^1(U)$  the unique weak solution.

Remark: It claims the boundedness of  $(L - \lambda I)^{-1}$  as well.

Pf. By contradiction: If  $\exists (u_k)$ . st.  $\|u_k\|_2 = 1$ .

$$\begin{cases} Lu_k = \lambda u_k + f_k \text{ in } U \\ u_k = 0 \text{ on } \partial U \end{cases} \quad \text{for some } f_k, \|u_k\|_2 > C \|f_k\|_2.$$

$$\text{Then since } \beta \|u_k\|_{H_0^1(U)} \leq B(u_k, u_k) + \gamma \|u_k\|_2 = \gamma + \|u_k\|_2 \|f_k\|_2$$

$$\therefore (u_k) \text{ is bounded in } H_0^1(U) \quad \therefore \begin{cases} \exists (u_k) \rightarrow u \text{ in } H_0^1(U) \\ u_k \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^2 \end{cases}$$

$$\therefore \|u\|_{L^2(U)} = 1. \text{ And } \because f_k \rightarrow 0 \quad \therefore Lu = \lambda u, u \neq 0. \text{ Since } \lambda \notin \Sigma$$

which is a contradiction.

### (3) Regularity:

#### Motivation:

Consider a case:  $-An = f$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Suppose  $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $u(x) \rightarrow 0$  ( $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ )

$$\text{Note that: } \int |f|^2 = \int (Au)^2 = \int |D^2u|^2 dx.$$

$\Rightarrow$  It means: second derivatives of  $u$  is dominated by  $\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ .

Replace  $\tilde{u} = D^2u$ .  $|u|=m$ . Then we obtain:

$(m+2)^{\text{th}}$ - derivatives of  $u$  is controlled by  $\|f\|_{H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)}$

#### ① Interior Regularity:

Suppose  $U$  is open, bounded.

Thm.

If  $a^{ij}(x) \in C(U)$ ,  $b^i(x), c(x) \in L^\infty(U)$ .

$f \in L^2(U)$  and  $u \in H^1(U)$  solve  $Lu = f$  in  $U$

weakly. Then  $u \in H^2_0(U)$ . Besides,

$$\|u\|_{H^2_0(U)} \leq C(\|f\|_{L^2(U)} + \|u\|_{L^2(U)}), \quad \forall V \subset \subset U, \quad C = C(V, U, L).$$

Pf: 1) Fix  $V \subset \subset U$ . Find  $W$  open,  $V \subset \subset W \subset \subset U$ .

Construct  $\beta \in C^\infty(U)$ ,  $\beta \equiv 1$  on  $V$ ,  $\beta \equiv 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus W$ ,  $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ , which is for guarantee  $u$  keep away from  $\partial U$ .

2) From  $B_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}} = (f, v)$ ,  $\forall v \in H_0^1(\mathcal{U})$ .

Separate the second-order part:  $\sum \int_{\mathcal{U}} a^{ij} \nabla u_i \nabla v_j = \int_{\mathcal{U}} \tilde{f} v$ .

where  $\tilde{f} = f - \sum b^{ij} u_i v_j - c v$ .

Let  $v = -D_k^h (\mathcal{S}^2 D_k^h u)(x)$ . ( $h$  is sufficiently small).

prove:  $\|D_k^h D u\|_2 \leq c$ .  $\forall k$ .

( $\mathcal{S}^2$  is for retraining " $\mathcal{S}$ " after differentiation).

$$3) \quad \text{recall } \begin{cases} \int_{\mathcal{W}} v D_k^h u = - \int_{\mathcal{W}} u D_k^h v \\ D_k^h(vw) = v^h D_k^h w + w D_k^h v \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{L^2(\mathcal{W})} &\leq C \int_{\mathcal{W}} |D(\mathcal{S}^2 D_k^h u)|^2 \leq C \int_{\mathcal{W}} |D_k^h D u|^2 + |D_k^h u|^2 \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathcal{W}} |D_k^h D u|^2 + |D u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{we obtain: } \int_{\mathcal{V}} |D_k^h D u|^2 \leq \int_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{S}^2 |D_k^h D u|^2 \leq C \int_{\mathcal{W}} f^2 + u^2 + |D u|^2.$$

$$\therefore D u \in H_{loc}^1(\mathcal{U}). \text{ and } \|u\|_{H^2(\mathcal{U})} \leq C(c \|f\|_{L^2(\mathcal{W})} + \|u\|_{H^1(\mathcal{W})})$$

$$4) \quad \text{Refine: } \|u\|_{H^1(\mathcal{W})} \leq \|u\|_{L^2} + \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

$$\text{choose } \mathcal{S} \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{U}): \begin{cases} \mathcal{S} \equiv 1 \text{ on } \mathcal{W}, \text{ supp } \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{U}. \\ 0 \leq \mathcal{S} \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $v = \mathcal{S}u$ . Apply elliptic condition:

$$\theta \int_{\mathcal{W}} |Du|^2 \leq \theta \int_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{S}^2 |Du|^2 \leq C \int_{\mathcal{U}} f^2 + u^2.$$

Remark: i) Since we don't consider boundary of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

There's no such:  $u \in H_0^1(\mathcal{U})$ .

ii) Since  $u \in H_{loc}^1(\mathcal{U})$ . Then  $B_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}} = (f, u)$

$= (Lu, u) \cdot \forall v \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{U}) \therefore Lu = f \text{ a.e. } \mathcal{U}$ .

Thm. (Higher order).

$m \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ . If  $a^{ij}, b^i, c \in C^m(U)$ ,  $f \in H^m(U)$ .

$u \in H^m(U)$  solves  $Lu = f$  in  $U$  weakly.

Then  $u \in H^{m+2}(U)$ . Besides,  $\|u\|_{H^{m+2}} \leq C \|f\|_{H^m} + \|u\|_{L^2(U)}$ .

where  $H^k V \subset U$ ,  $c = (c, U, V, L)$ .

Pf. By induction on  $m$ .

1)  $m=0$ , it holds by the former thm.

2') Suppose  $a^{ij}, b^i, c \in C^{k+2}(U)$ ,  $f \in H^{k+2}(U)$ .

By hypothesis:  $u \in H^{k+2}(U)$ , with an estimation.

3') Consider  $|x|=m+1$ ,  $\tilde{v} \in C^\infty(W)$ ,  $V \subset W \subset U$ .

Let  $v = (-1)^{|x|} D^x \tilde{v}$ . By integration by part:

$$B_{[u, v]} = (f, v) \Rightarrow B_{[\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}]} = (\tilde{f}, \tilde{v}), \tilde{v} = D^x u.$$

$$\tilde{f} = D^x f - \sum_{\substack{\rho \in \omega \\ \alpha \neq \rho}} (\tilde{\rho}) \left[ - \sum (D^{-\rho} a^{ij} D^\rho \mu_{x_i}) x_j + \dots \right]$$

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^2(W)} \leq \|f\|_{H^{k+2}(U)} + \|u\|_{H^{k+2}(U)} \leq C \|f\|_{H^k(U)} + \|u\|_{L^2(U)}$$

4') Apply  $m=0$  case on  $\tilde{u}$ . We have  $u \in H^{k+3}(U)$ .

$$\|u\|_{H^{k+3}(U)} \leq C \|f\|_{H^{k+2}(U)} + \|u\|_{L^2(U)}$$

Cor. If  $a^{ij}, b^i, c \in C^\infty(U)$ ,  $f \in C^0(U)$ ,  $u \in H^m(U)$

solves  $Lu = f$  in  $U$  weakly. Then  $u \in C^\infty(U)$ .

Pf.  $u \in H^{m+2}(U)$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then for  $H^k V \subset U$ ,

$$\Rightarrow u \in C^{m-[n/2]-1}(\bar{V}), \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

$$\therefore u \in C^\infty(U).$$

## ② Boundary Regularity:

Thm.

If  $a^{ij} \in C^1(\bar{U})$ ,  $b^i, c \in L^\infty(U)$ ,  $f \in L^2(U)$ ,  $\mu \in H_0^1(U)$ .

solves  $\begin{cases} Lu = f \text{ in } U \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial U \end{cases}$  weakly.  $\partial U$  is  $C^2$ .

Then  $u \in H^2(U)$ . Besides,  $\|u\|_{H^2(U)} \leq C(\|f\|_{L^2(U)} + \|u\|_{H^1(U)})$ .

( $= C(c, v, L)$ ). (If  $u$  is unique. Then  $\|u\|_{H^2(U)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2}$ , inverse is bounded)

Pf: 1) Consider  $U = B^o(0,1) \cap R^n$ . firstly.  $V = B^o(0, \frac{1}{2}) \cap R^n$ .

Let  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(R^n)$ .  $\varphi \equiv 1$  on  $B^o(0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,  $\varphi \equiv 0$  on  $R^n / B^o(0, 1)$ .

2) Similarly, separate second-order part.

Let  $V = -D_k^{-1} \varphi^2 P_k^n$ .  $V \in H^1(U)$ .

Besides, for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ :  $V \equiv 0$  on  $\partial U$ .  $\therefore V \in H_0^1(U)$ .

3) Prove:  $\|D_k D_w\|_{L^2(U)} \leq C$ . If  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .  $\therefore u_{kk} \in H^1(V)$ .

With.  $\sum_{k \leq n} \|u_{kk}\|_{L^2(U)} \leq C(\|f\|_{L^2(U)} + \|u\|_{H^2(U)})$

4) Prove:  $\|u_{xx}\|_{L^2(U)} \leq C(\|f\|_2 + \|u\|_{H^1})$

since  $Lw = f$  a.e. in  $U$ .  $\therefore \int_{R^n} u_{xx} u_{xx} = \square$

Let  $\varphi = \varphi_n$   $\therefore \alpha_n \geq \varphi > 0$   $\therefore \theta \|u_{xx}\|_{L^2(U)} \leq C(\|f\|_2 + \|u\|_{H^1})$

5)  $\|u\|_{H^2(U)} \leq C(\|f\|_{L^2(U)} + \|u\|_{H^1(U)})$

$\leq C(\|f\|_{L^2(U)} + \|u\|_{L^2(U)})$

Since under elliptic condition:  $\|u\|_{H^1(U)}$  is controlled by  $\|f\|_{L^2(U)}, \|u\|_{L^2(U)}$ .

6) "Straighten out" Argument:

WLOG. suppose  $U \cap B^o(x_0, r) = \{x \in B^o(x_0, r) \mid x_n > y_n(x)\}$ .

$$y \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad U \xrightarrow[\varphi]{\varphi} \tilde{U} \text{ (straightened)}.$$

$\varphi = x_n - y_n(x)$

choose  $s$  small enough. s.t.  $U' = B^o(0, s) \cap \{y_n > 0\} \subseteq \varphi(U)$ .

Set  $V' = B^o(0, \frac{s}{2}) \cap \{y_n > 0\}$ .  $\mu|_{V'} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \mu(\varphi|_{V'}) = \mu(x)$ .

7) Check  $\mu|_{V'} \in \mathcal{N}(U)$ . by approx. of  $C^0(\overline{U})$

8) Claim:  $\mu|_{V'}$  is weak solution of  $L' u = f'$  in  $U'$ .

$$f|_{V'} = f(\varphi|_{V'}) = f(x). \quad L' u = L(\varphi|_{V'}) = L(x).$$

$$a_{ik}(\eta) = \sum_{r,s} a^{rs}(\eta) \phi_{xr}^k(\eta) \phi_{xs}^i(\eta).$$

$$L' u = - \sum_{k,i} (a_{ik} \mu|_{V'})_{\eta_i} + \sum_k b_k \mu|_{V'} + c u'.$$

It originates from:

$$\sum_k b_k(x) \mu|_{X_k} = \sum_k b_k(\varphi(\phi(x))) \mu|_{X_k} (\phi(\phi(x)))$$

$$= \sum_{k,i,b} b_k \mu|_{X_k} \varphi_{\eta_i}^i(\phi(x)) \phi_{X_k}^k = \sum_{k,i,b} b_k(\eta) \mu|_{X_k} \varphi_{\eta_i}^i \phi_{X_k}^k$$

$$= \sum_i \left( \sum_k b_k(\eta) \phi_{X_k}^k \right) \left( \sum_j \mu|_{X_k} \varphi_{\eta_j}^j \right)$$

$$\stackrel{\Delta}{=} \sum_i b_i(\eta) \mu|_{\eta_i}. \quad \text{We obtain } b_i(\eta) = \sum_k b_k(\eta) \phi_{X_k}^k$$

Similar to obtain  $a_{ij}, c$ .

It can be checked by  $D\varphi \cdot D\varphi = I_n$ . Conversely.

9) Check  $L'$  is uniformly elliptic.

Apply the half-ball case. And cover  $\partial U$  by finite balls.

Thm. (Higher order)

$m \in \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}^+$ .  $a^{ij}, b^i, c \in C^{m+1}(\bar{U})$ ,  $f \in H^m(U)$ ,  $u \in H_0^m(U)$

solves  $\begin{cases} Lu = f \text{ in } U \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial U \end{cases}$  weakly.  $\partial U$  is  $C^{m+2}$ .

Then  $u \in H_0^{m+2}(U)$ . Besides,  $\|u\|_{H_0^{m+2}(U)} \leq C (\|f\|_{H^m(U)} + \|u\|_{L^2(U)})$

( $C = C(U, L, m)$ ). Const. If  $u$  is unique solution. Then

We have:  $\|u\|_{H_0^{m+2}(U)} \leq C \|f\|_{H^m(U)}$ .

Pf: 1) By induction on  $m$ :

$m=0$  is proved by Thm above.

Now if  $a^{ij}, b^i, c \in C^{m+2}(\bar{U})$ ,  $f \in H^{m+1}(U)$ ,  $u \in C^{m+3}$

By inductive assumption:  $u \in H_0^{m+2}(U)$  with estimation.

2) For  $|r|=m+1$ ,  $c_n=0$ . (For  $\tilde{u}|_{\partial U} = 0$ )

consider  $\tilde{u} = D^r u \in H_0^1(U)$ ,  $L\tilde{u} = \tilde{f}$

where it's from  $D^r Lu = D^r f$ . a.o.)

$$\tilde{f} = D^r f - \sum (\beta) \quad \square \quad \tilde{f} \in L^2(U)$$

Apply  $m=0$  case.  $\therefore \tilde{u} \in H_0^2(U)$ :

$$\text{i.e. } \|D^r u\|_{L^2(U)} \leq C (\|f\|_{H^{m+1}(U)} + \|u\|_{L^2(U)})$$

for  $|\beta|=m+3$ ,  $\beta_n=0, 1, 2$ .

3) For  $|\beta|=m+3$ , induction on  $\beta_n=j$  again.

$j=0, 1, 2$  we have proved.

If  $\beta_n=j \in \{0, \dots, m+2\}$  holds. for  $\beta_n=j+1$ .

Denote  $\beta=Y+2\epsilon_n$ .

Since  $Lu=f$ . a.o. U.  $\therefore D^Y Lu = D^Y f$ . a.o.

$\therefore D^{\alpha} f = \alpha^{nn} D^n u + \text{sum of terms involving at most } j \text{ derivatives of } u \text{ with } k_n$

$\therefore \alpha_{nn} \geq 0 > 0 \therefore \|D^{\alpha} u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{H^{m+1}(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{H^m(\Omega)}$

It follows from hypothesis. Then by straighten and cover.

Cor. If  $a^{ij}, b^i, c \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $f \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

Solves  $\int_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{Lu=f \text{ in } \Omega}{u=0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega} \text{ weakly, } u \in C^{\infty}$ .

Then  $u \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$

Pf:  $u \in H^m(\Omega)$ ,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .  $\Rightarrow u \in C^{m-\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1, \infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

#### (4) Maximal Principle:

- Suppose  $U \subseteq \overset{\text{open}}{\mathbb{R}^n}$ , bounded. For considering pointwise values of  $D^{\alpha} u$ ,  $D^2 u$ . (Note that  $u$  attains max at  $x_0$  if  $D^{\alpha} u(x_0) = 0$ ,  $D^2 u(x_0) \leq 0$ ).

Suppose:  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ .

Consider  $L$  in nondivergence form. And  $\text{sgn } a^{ij} = a^{ii}$   
Besides,  $a^{ij}, b^i, c$  are conti.

#### ① Weak maximal principle:

For  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ , and  $u(x_0) \geq 0$  in  $\Omega$ .

i) If  $Lu \leq 0$  in  $U$ . Then  $\max_{\bar{U}} u(x) = \max_{\partial U} u(x)$ .

ii) If  $Lu \geq 0$  in  $U$ . Then  $\min_{\bar{U}} u(x) = \min_{\partial U} u(x)$ .

Pf: Only prove i). Since for ii). Let  $\tilde{u} = -u$ .

1') Consider  $u^{\varepsilon}(x) = u(x) + \varepsilon e^{\lambda x_1}$ . Choose  $\lambda$ :

$$\text{s.t. } Lu^{\varepsilon}(x) \leq \varepsilon L e^{\lambda x_1} < 0.$$

2') Suppose  $\exists x_0 \in U$ . s.t.  $u^{\varepsilon}(x_0) = \max_{\bar{U}} u^{\varepsilon}(x)$ .

Then  $Du^{\varepsilon}(x_0) = 0$ .  $D^2u^{\varepsilon}(x_0) \leq 0$  (negative definite)

3')  $\because A, D^2u^{\varepsilon}$  are symmetric.  $\therefore \exists O \in M^{n \times n}_{\text{sym}}$ , orthonormal.

$$\text{s.t. } OA O^T = \text{diag}\{1, \dots, \lambda_k\}, \quad OD^2u^{\varepsilon}O^T = \text{diag}\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}.$$

$$\lambda_i \geq 0 > 0, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad p_i \leq 0, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

$$\text{For } u^{\varepsilon}(y) = u^{\varepsilon}(x_0 + O(x-y_0)).$$

$$D_x u^{\varepsilon}(y) = D_y u^{\varepsilon} \cdot O, \quad D_x^2 u^{\varepsilon} = O^T D_y^2 u^{\varepsilon} O \quad \therefore \begin{cases} u^{\varepsilon}_{\eta_k \eta_k} = 0, k \neq i. \\ u^{\varepsilon}_{\eta_i \eta_k} \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

4')  $\sum \lambda_i^{ij} u^{\varepsilon}_{x_i x_j} = \sum \lambda_k u^{\varepsilon}_{\eta_k \eta_k} \leq 0.$

$$\text{At } x = x_0. \quad \therefore D u^{\varepsilon}(x_0) = 0. \quad \therefore Lu^{\varepsilon}(x_0) \geq 0. \quad \text{Contradict!}$$

5') Let  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Attain  $\max_{\bar{U}} u = \max_{\partial U} u$ .

Cor. If  $u \in C_c(U) \cap C(\bar{U})$ ,  $c \geq 0$  in  $L$  in  $U$ .

i) For  $Lu \leq 0$  in  $U$ . Then  $\max_{\bar{U}} u^+ \geq \max_{\bar{U}} u$

ii) For  $Lu \geq 0$  in  $U$ . Then  $\max_{\bar{U}} u^- \geq \max_{\bar{U}} (-u)$

Remark:  $Lu = 0 \Rightarrow \max_{\bar{U}} |u| = \max_{\bar{U}} |w|$ .

Pf. Only prove i). ii) is from  $\bar{u} = -u$ ,  $(-u)^+ = u^-$

Consider  $V = \{x \in U \mid u(x) > 0\}$ .

1')  $V = \emptyset$ . It's trivial. ( $\geq$  may be strict)

2')  $V \neq \emptyset$ . since by  $u \in C^1(\bar{U})$ ,  $\partial V \cap U \subseteq \{u=0\}$ .

$\therefore \partial V \cap \partial U \neq \emptyset$ . For  $k_n = L_n - c_n$ .

$k_n \leq -c_n \leq 0$  in  $V$ .  $\therefore$  By thm.  $\max_{\bar{V}} u = \max_{\partial V}$

$\max_{\partial V} u = \max_{\partial U} u^+$ .  $\max_{\bar{V}} u = \max_{\bar{U}} u(x)$ . We're done.

Def. We say  $L$  satisfies weak maximum principle

if for  $\forall n \in C^1(U) \cap C(\bar{U})$ , and  $\begin{cases} L_n \leq 0 \text{ in } U \\ n \leq 0 \text{ on } \partial U \end{cases}$

then  $n \leq 0$  in  $U$ . (Denote WMP)

prop. If  $\exists v \in C^2(U) \cap C(\bar{U})$ , and  $Lv \geq 0$  in  $U$ ,

$v > 0$  on  $\bar{U}$ . Then  $L$  satisfies WMP.

Pf. 1) Prove:  $\exists M$ . s.t.  $M$  has no zeroth-order term.

and  $M(\frac{u}{v}) \leq 0$ . in  $R = \{u > 0\}$ . Apply thm:

$$\therefore \max_{\bar{R}} \frac{u}{v} = \max_{\partial R} \frac{u}{v} \leq 0. \quad \therefore R = \emptyset.$$

2') Suppose  $Lu = -\sum a^{ij} u_{x_i x_j} + \sum b^i u_{x_i} + cu$ .

$$\text{Calculus: } -\sum a^{ij} u_{x_i} (\frac{u}{v})_{x_i x_j} = (a_{ij} = a_{ji})$$

$$\frac{v Lu - u Lv}{v^2} - \frac{2}{v} \sum a_{ij} u_{x_i} (\frac{u}{v})_{x_i} + \vec{b} \cdot \vec{D}(\frac{u}{v}).$$

$$\therefore \text{Let } m = -\sum a^{ij} u_{x_i x_j} + \frac{2}{v} \sum a_{ij} u_{x_i} u_{x_j} - \sum b^i u_{x_i}$$

$$\therefore M(\frac{u}{v}) = \frac{v Lu - u Lv}{v^2} \leq 0.$$

## ② Strong maximum principle:

### i) Hopf's lemma:

If  $u \in C^2(\bar{U}) \cap C(\bar{U})$ ,  $C \geq 0$  in  $\bar{U}$  of  $L$ .  $u_n \leq 0$  in  $U$ .

there exists  $x_0 \in \partial U$ , s.t.  $u(x_0) > u(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in U$ , and  
 $U$  satisfies interior ball condition at  $x_0$ , i.e.  $\exists B \subseteq U$ ,  
s.t.  $x_0 \in \partial B$ . Then:  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x_0) > 0$ .  $\vec{\nu}$  is outer normal unit.

For  $C \geq 0$ . It holds when  $u(x_0) \geq 0$ .

Remark: If  $\partial U$  is  $C^2$ . Then by formula of osculating  
ball.  $U$  satisfies interior ball condition automatically.

Pf: 1') Denote  $B = B^o(0, r)$ ,  $R = B^o(0, r) / B(0, \frac{r}{2})$ .

For  $V(x) = e^{-\lambda|x|^2} - e^{-\lambda r^2}$ ,  $V \leq 0$  in  $R$  for  $\lambda$  large enough.

2')  $\exists \varepsilon \geq 0$ , s.t.  $u(x_0) \geq u(x) + \varepsilon V(x)$  on  $\partial B(0, \frac{r}{2})$ .

$\therefore u(x_0) \geq u(x) + \varepsilon V(x)$  on  $\partial R$ ,  $(V=0, \forall x \in \partial B(0, r))$

3') Since  $L(u(x) - u(x_0) + \varepsilon V(x)) \leq L(-u(x_0)) = -C u(x_0) \leq 0$ .

Apply thm in ①:  $u(x) - u(x_0) + \varepsilon V(x) \leq 0$  in  $R$ .

Besides,  $u(x_0) - u(x_0) + \varepsilon V(x_0) = 0$ ,  $\therefore \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x_0) + \varepsilon \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu}(x_0) \geq 0$ .

$$\Rightarrow V = \frac{x_0}{r}, \quad \therefore \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x_0) \geq \lambda \varepsilon r e^{-\lambda r^2} > 0$$

### ii) Thm:

If  $u \in C^2(\bar{U}) \cap C(\bar{U})$  and  $C \geq 0$  in  $U$

where  $U$  is connected.

i) For  $u_{\max} \leq 0$  in  $U$ .  $\exists x_0 \in U$ . St.  $u(x_0) = \max_{\bar{U}} u(x)$

Then  $u \equiv \text{const.}$  in  $U$

ii) For  $u_{\max} > 0$  in  $U$ .  $\exists x_0 \in U$ . St.  $u(x_0) = \min_{\bar{U}} u(x)$

Then  $u \equiv \text{const.}$  in  $U$ .

Pf. Denote  $M = \max_{\bar{U}} u(x)$ .  $C = \{x \in U \mid u(x) = M\}$ .


If  $C \neq U$ . set  $V = \{x \in U \mid u < M\}$ .

Since  $U = C \cup V$ . Choose  $y \in V$ . St.  $d(y, C) < d(y, \partial U)$

with largest ball  $B(y, r) \subseteq V$ .

If  $C \cap U = \emptyset$ .  $\exists x_0 \in C \cap V$ .

St.  $x_0 \in B(y, r)$ . Apply Hopf Lemma.



$\therefore \frac{\partial u}{\partial v}(x_0) > 0$ . contradict with  $D(u) \geq 0$

Cir.  $u \in C^1(\bar{U}) \cap C^0(\bar{U})$ .  $C > 0$ .  $U$  is connected.

i) If  $u_{\max} \leq 0$  in  $U$ .  $\exists x_0 \in U$ . St.  $u(x_0) = \max_{\bar{U}} u(x) \geq 0$ . Then  $u \equiv \text{const.}$  in  $U$ .

ii) If  $u_{\max} > 0$  in  $U$ .  $\exists x_0 \in U$ . St.  $u(x_0) = \min_{\bar{U}} u(x) \leq 0$ . Then  $u \equiv \text{const.}$  in  $U$ .

Pf. There correspond the " $u(x) \geq 0$ " part in Hopf's lemma.

ii) is from :  $\tilde{u} = -u$ .

### ③ Harnack's Inequality:

Thm. If  $u \geq 0$ ,  $u \in C^2(U)$  solves  $Lu = 0$  in  $U$ .

for  $V \subset\subset U$ , connected. Then  $\exists$  const.  $C$

$$\text{st. } \sup_V u \leq C \inf_V u. \quad C = C(L, V)$$

Pf. Only prove special case:  $b^i \equiv 0 \equiv a^{ij}$  and smooth

1) Suppose  $u > 0$ . (Other let  $u = u + \varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ )

Set  $v = \log u$ . Suppose  $V = B(x, r) \subset\subset U$ .

$$\text{prove: } \sup_V |Dv| \leq C.$$

(Then  $\forall x_1, x_2 \in V$ ,  $|v(x_1) - v(x_2)| \leq r \sup_V |Dv| \leq C$

$$\therefore u(x_1) \leq C u(x_2). \Rightarrow \sup_V u \leq C \inf_V u$$

2)  $\because Lu = 0 \quad \therefore \sum a^{ij} v_{x_i x_j} + a^{ij} v_{x_i} v_{x_j} = 0 \text{ in } U$ .

Separate second-order term:  $w = \sum a^{ij} v_{x_i} v_{x_j}$

$$\therefore w = - \sum a^{ij} v_{x_i x_j}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} w_{x_k x_l} = \sum_{i,j} (-2a^{ij} v_{x_i x_k x_l} v_{x_j} + 2a^{ij} v_{x_i x_l} v_{x_j x_k}) + R \\ w_{x_i} = - \sum a^{ik} v_{x_i x_k x_l} + R. \end{array} \right. \quad (1)$$

$$\text{where } |R| \leq \varepsilon |D^2V|^2 + C(\varepsilon) |DV|^2$$

$$\text{From } \sum \sum a^{ij} a^{ik} v_{x_i x_k} v_{x_j x_k} \geq \theta^2 |D^2V|^2. \text{ Choose } \varepsilon = \frac{\theta^2}{2}$$

$$\therefore - \sum a^{kk} w_{x_k x_k} + \sum b^k w_{x_k} \leq -\frac{\theta^2}{2} |D^2V|^2 + C |DV|^2. \quad b_k = -2 \sum a^{kk} v_{x_k}$$

$$3) \text{ Find } g \in C^\alpha(\bar{U}), 0 \leq g \leq 1. \quad \begin{cases} g = 1 \text{ in } U \\ g = 0 \text{ on } \partial U \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Let } z = g^4 w.$$

$$\text{Since } z|_{\partial U} = 0 \quad v \geq \theta |V|^2 > 0.$$

$$\therefore \exists x_0 \in U. \quad z(x_0) = \max_{\bar{U}} z(x).$$

$$\therefore \int w_{xx} + 4 \int_{x_k} w = 0 \text{ at } x=x_0.$$

Besides, at  $x=x_0$ , we have:

$$0 \leq -\sum a^{kk} z_{xxk} + \sum b^k z_{xk} \stackrel{A}{=} \tilde{L}z.$$

Otherwise  $\tilde{L}z < 0$ . by conti.  $\therefore \tilde{L}z < 0$  in  $B(x_0, r)$ .

Then  $z \equiv z(x_0)$  in  $B(x_0, r)$   $\therefore \tilde{L}z \equiv 0$  contradict!

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq \int^4 (-\sum a^{kk} w_{xxk} + \sum b^k w_{xk}) + \hat{R}$$

$$\text{where } |\hat{R}| \leq C(\int^2 w + \int^3 |Dw|) = C \int^2 w \quad (\text{by } \int w_{xx} = 4 \int_{x_k} w)$$

Apply estimate in 2):

$$\int^4 |D^2v|^2 \leq C \int^4 |Dw|^2 + C \int^2 w. \text{ From: } \int |Dw|^2 \leq w \leq C |D^2v|$$

$$\therefore z = \int^4 w \leq 0 \text{ at } x=x_0.$$

$$\therefore |Dw|^2 \leq Cw \leq 0.$$

4') General case: Cover  $V$  by balls ( $B_n$ ).  $\square$

### (5) Eigenvalues:

#### ① Symmetric Elliptic Operators:

Consider  $Lu = -\sum (a^{ij}(x)u_{xi})_{xj}$ ,  $a^{ij} \in C^\infty(\bar{U})$ .

Besides,  $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$   $\therefore B[u, v] = (Lu, v) = (u, Lv) = B[v, u]$ .

Theorem:

For symmetric operator  $L$ .

i) Each eigenvalue of  $L$  is real.

ii)  $\Sigma = (\lambda_n)$ , where  $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \cdots \leq \lambda_n \leq \dots$

$$\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

iii) There exists orthonormal basis  $(w_n)$  of  $L^2(U)$ . s.t.

$$w_k \in H_0(U), \text{ solves } \begin{cases} Lw_k = \lambda_k w_k \text{ in } U \\ w_k = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial U \end{cases}$$

Remark:  $w_k \in C^\infty(U)$ . What's more, if  $\partial U \in C^1$ , then

$$w_k \in C^\infty(\bar{U})$$

Pf: i) For  $B[u, v] = (Lu, v)$ .  $\begin{cases} \theta \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq B[u, u] \\ B[u, v] \leq \|u\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^2} \end{cases}$

$$\therefore L : L^2 \rightarrow L^2 \text{ one-to-one.}$$

$$\therefore L u = 0 \Leftrightarrow u = 0. \text{ Besides, } S = L^{-1} \text{ is BLD. cpt.}$$

2) Claim:  $L$  is symmetric

For  $f, g \in L^2(U)$ . Suppose  $\begin{cases} Lu = f \text{ in } U \\ Lv = g \text{ in } U \end{cases} \quad u, v \in H_0(U)$

$$\therefore (Sf, g) = (u, g) = B[u, v]$$

$$= B[u, v] = (v, f) = (Sg, f)$$

3) Applying cpt. sym operator than on  $S$

positive is from:  $(Lu, u) \geq \theta \|u\|^2 > 0$ .

$$\therefore m = \min_{\|u\|=1} (Lu, u) > 0.$$

Definition: We call  $\lambda_1 > 0$  principle eigenvalue of  $L$ .

Thm. (Variational principle for principle values)

i)  $\lambda_1 = \min \{ B[u, u] \mid \|u\|_{L^2(U)} = 1, u \in H_0^1(U) \}$

ii)  $\exists w_1 \in H_0^1(U), \|w_1\|_{L^2(U)} = 1$ . s.t.  $\begin{cases} Lw_1 = \lambda_1 w_1 \text{ in } U \\ w_1 = 0 \text{ on } \partial U \end{cases}$

Besides, if  $u$  is another

solution, then  $u = cw_1$  ( $\lambda_1$  is simple)

Pf. 1) For  $(w_k)$  is orthonormal basis in  $L^2(U)$ .

satisfies  $\begin{cases} Lw_k = \lambda_k w_k \text{ in } U \\ w_k = 0 \text{ on } \partial U \end{cases}$

Claim:  $(w_k/\sqrt{\lambda_k})$  is orthonormal basis

of  $H_0^1(U)$  with inner product  $B[\cdot, \cdot]$ .

Since  $\forall u \in L^2, u = \sum c_k w_k$ .

$$\therefore B[u, w_k/\sqrt{\lambda_k}] = 0, \forall k \Rightarrow u = 0.$$

2) For  $\|u\|_{L^2(U)} = 1$ . since  $u = \sum c_k w_k$ .

$$\therefore \sum |c_k w_k|^2 = 1. \therefore B[u, u] = \sum \lambda_k |c_k w_k|^2 \geq \lambda_1$$

"=" holds when  $u = w_1$ .

3) Claim: For  $u \in H_0^1(U), \|u\|_{L^2(U)} = 1$ .

$$\begin{cases} Lu = \lambda_1 u \text{ in } U \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial U \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow B[u, u] = \lambda_1$$

Denote  $\mu_k = (w_k, u)$ .  $\therefore \sum \mu_k^2 = 1$ .

$$\text{If } B[u, u] = \lambda_1 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 \sum \mu_k^2 = \sum \lambda_k \mu_k^2$$

$\mu_k = 0$  if  $\lambda_k > \lambda_1$ .

$$\therefore u = \sum \mu_k w_k \text{ where } Lw_k = \lambda_k w_k.$$

4) Prove: For  $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$  solves  $\begin{cases} Lu = \lambda_1 u \text{ in } \Omega \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$

$u \neq 0$ . Then  $u > 0$  or  $u < 0$  in  $\Omega$ .

Lemma:  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \iff u^+, u^- \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Besides, we have:

$$Du^+ = \begin{cases} Du, \text{ in } \{u > 0\} \\ 0, \text{ on } \{u \leq 0\}. \end{cases} \quad Du^- = \begin{cases} 0, \text{ in } \{u > 0\} \\ -Du, \text{ on } \{u \leq 0\}. \end{cases}$$

Pf:  $F_\varepsilon(u) = (\sqrt{u^2 + \varepsilon^2} - \varepsilon) \chi_{\{u \geq 0\}} \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$

Besides,  $F'_\varepsilon(u) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $F'_\varepsilon(0) = 0$ .

By Chain Rule:  $\int_U F'_\varepsilon(u) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} = - \int_U F''_\varepsilon(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \phi$

By DCT. Let  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ . Since  $F'_\varepsilon(u) \rightarrow |u| \chi_{\{u \geq 0\}} = u^+$

$$\therefore \int_U u^+ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} = - \int_U \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \phi \chi_{\{u \geq 0\}}$$

Apply on  $\tilde{u} = -u$ , obtain  $u^-$  case.

$$\Rightarrow \text{WLOG. } \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = 1 = \int_U (u^+)^2 + (u^-)^2. (u^+u^- = 0)$$

$$\therefore \lambda_1 = B[u, u] = B[u^+, u^+] + B[u^-, u^-] \geq \lambda_1 \cdot \|u^+\|_2 + \|u^-\|_2 = \lambda_1$$

$$\therefore \begin{cases} B[u^+, u^+] = \lambda_1 \|u^+\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ B[u^-, u^-] = \lambda_1 \|u^-\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \end{cases} \Rightarrow u^+, u^- \text{ solves } \begin{cases} Lu = \lambda_1 u \text{ in } \Omega \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

$\therefore Lu^+ = \lambda_1 u^+ \geq 0$   $\therefore$  By SMP:  $u^+ > 0$  in  $\Omega$  or  $u^+ \equiv 0$  in  $\Omega$

Similiar for  $u^-$ .  $\therefore u^+ > 0$  or  $u^- > 0$  in  $\Omega$

5) For  $\tilde{u}$  is another solution.  $\therefore \tilde{u} > 0$  or  $< 0$  in  $\Omega$

$$\therefore \int \tilde{u} \neq 0. \text{ Suppose } \int \tilde{u} = c \int u.$$

$\therefore \int \tilde{u} - cu = 0. \therefore \tilde{u} - cu$  is another solution.

$\therefore \tilde{u} \equiv cu$ . Otherwise  $\int \tilde{u} - cu > 0$  or  $< 0$ .

### Thm. Courant minimax Principle)

For  $\Sigma_k = \{\lambda_k\}$ . We have:  $\lambda_k = \max_{S \in E_k} \min_{\|u\|_2=1} B_{E_k, u}$

$E_k$  is the collection of

all  $(k_1)$ -dimension subspaces of  $H^1(U)$ .

Pf. Denote  $A: L^2: L^2(U) \rightarrow L^2(U)$ . opt BLO.

1) Prove:  $\lambda_k = \sup_{S \in E_k} \inf_{\|u\|_2=1} B_{E_k, u}$ .

$E_k$  collects all  $(k_1)$ -dimension subspaces of  $L^2(U)$

Suppose  $\{e_k\}$  is the correspond eigenfunctions.

Since  $u = \sum_i c_i e_i$ .  $\therefore B_{E_k, u} = |\lambda_k| |c_k|^2$

2)  $\forall S \in E_k$ .  $\exists u_0 \in S^\perp \cap \text{span}\{e_i\}_1^k$ .  $\mu_0 = \sum_i t_i c_i$

$\therefore \inf B_{E_k, u} \leq B_{E_k, u_0} = \sum_i \lambda_i |c_i|^2 \leq \lambda_k$ . ( $\sum_i t_i^2 = 1$ )

$\therefore \sup B_{E_k, u} \leq \lambda_k$

3) Pick  $S_0 = \text{span}(e_i)_1^k$ .  $\therefore \inf_{u \in S_0^\perp} B_{E_k, u} \geq \lambda_k$

$\therefore \sup B_{E_k, u} \geq \lambda_k$ .

4) Since  $H^1(U) \subset L^2(U)$ .  $\therefore \lambda_k \geq \max_{S \in E_k} \min_{u \in S} B_{E_k, u}$

Conversely. Choose  $\Sigma'_k = \text{span}\{e_i / \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\}_1^k$ .

### ② Nonsymmetric Case:

For  $Lu = -\sum a^{ij} u_{x_j} + \sum b^i u_{x_i} + cu$ ,  $a^{ij}, b^i, c \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$

$U$  is open, bounded, connected.  $\partial U \in C^\infty$ .  $a^{ij} = a^{ji}$

$c \geq 0$  in  $U$ . for  $u \in H^1(U)$ .

Thm. (Principle eigenvalue)

i) There exists  $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{I}_L$ ,  $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ , s.t.  $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{I}_L$ ,

$\mu(\lambda) \geq \lambda_1$ . Besides,  $\lambda_1$  is simple

ii) There exists a corresponding eigenfunc.  $w_1$   
s.t.  $w_1 > 0$  in  $U$ .

Thm. For principle eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$ . We have:

$$\lambda_1 = \sup L \inf_{x \in U} \frac{L(u,x)}{u(x)} \mid u \in C^{\infty}(\bar{U}), u > 0 \text{ in } U, u=0 \text{ on } \partial U^3.$$

Pf: 1)  $\exists w_1 \in H^1(U)$ , s.t.  $Lw_1 = \lambda_1 w_1$ .

Note that  $\exists u_n \in C^{\infty}(\bar{U}) \rightarrow w_1$  in  $H'$ .

$$\therefore \sup \inf \frac{L_u}{u} \geq \inf \frac{L_{u_n}}{u_n} \rightarrow \lambda_1.$$

2') Prove:  $\lambda_1$  is principle eigenvalue of  $L^*$

Suppose  $\lambda_1^*$  is. correspond  $w_1^* > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore c(L^*(w_1^*, w_1)) &= \lambda_1^* c(w_1^*, w_1) = (w_1^*, Lw_1) \\ &= \lambda_1 (w_1^*, w_1) \quad \therefore \lambda_1^* = \lambda_1. \end{aligned}$$

3') Conversely. prove:

$$\inf_{x \in U} \frac{L_u}{u} \leq \lambda_1 \text{ for } \forall u \in C^{\infty}(\bar{U}), \begin{cases} u > 0 \text{ in } U \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial U \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \inf_{x \in U} L_u - \lambda_1 u / u \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow \inf_{x \in U} L_u - \lambda_1 u \leq 0$$

It follows from  $(w_1^*, L_u - \lambda_1 u) = 0$ .

But  $w_1^* > 0$ .  $\therefore \inf_{x \in U} L_u - \lambda_1 u \leq 0$ .