

Vague Convergence

(1) Definitions:

- ① Def: i) For M on $(\mathbb{R}', \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}'})$. $M(\mathbb{R}') \leq 1$. Then:
 it's called subprobability measure (s.p.m.).
 ii) M_n , s.p.m.'s $\xrightarrow{v} M$, if \exists measurable set $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}'$.
 s.t. $\forall a, b \in D$. $M_n[a, b] \rightarrow M[a, b]$. ($M_n \xrightarrow{v} M$)

Rmk: i) Introduce s.p.m.: generally. $\{M_n\}$ p.m.'s.

$M_n \rightarrow M$. M is not necessarily to be p.m. ($M \leq 1$)

e.g. choose d.f.: $F_n = aI_{\{x \geq a\}} + bI_{\{x < -n\}} + G(x)$.

$a, b > 0$. $F_n \rightarrow G(x)$ is not d.f.

ii) For p.m.'s: It corresponds a d.f.

$M_n \xrightarrow{v} M \Leftrightarrow F_n \xrightarrow{v} F \Leftrightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{a} X$, if

$X_n \sim F_n$. $F_n \sim M_n$.

② Criteria:

Thm. For s.p.m.'s $\{M_n\}, M$. The followings are equi.

i) $M_n \xrightarrow{v} M$ ii) \forall conti. interval $[a, b]$. of M .
 $M_n[a, b] \rightarrow M[a, b]$.

iii) $\forall \varepsilon > 0$. $a, b \in \mathbb{R}'$. $\exists n_0 = n_0(a, b, \varepsilon)$. $\forall n > n_0$. we have:

$$M(a+\varepsilon, b-\varepsilon) - \varepsilon \leq M_n(a, b) \leq M(a-\varepsilon, b+\varepsilon) + \varepsilon.$$

Rmk: Define Levy metric: $e(M, \lambda) = \|M - \lambda\|_c$

$$\inf \{\delta > 0 \mid M \subset \cup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} [x - \delta, x + \delta] \subset \lambda \subset \cup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} [x - \delta, x + \delta], \forall x\}$$

Then $M_n \xrightarrow{v} M \iff \|M_n - \lambda\|_c \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$

Thm. For p.m's $\{M_n\}, M$: Replace iii) by:

iii*) $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \exists n_0 = n_0(\delta, \varepsilon)$. St. $\forall n > n_0$.

$$M_n(a+\delta, b-\delta) - \varepsilon \leq M_n(a, b) \leq M_n(a-\delta, b+\delta) + \varepsilon.$$

for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

It still holds for Thm above.

Rmk: i) The problem is we can find $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ s.t. $|M_n(a, b)|, |M_n(a, b)| < \varepsilon$. But for s.p.m's.

It won't hold probably.

ii) Define metric $\tilde{e}(M, \lambda) = \|M - \lambda\|_{\tilde{c}} =$

$$\inf \{\delta > 0 \mid M \subset \cup_{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2} [a - \delta, a + \delta] \times [b - \delta, b + \delta] \subset \lambda \subset \cup_{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2} [a - \delta, a + \delta] \times [b - \delta, b + \delta], \forall (a, b)\}$$

$M_n \cdot \text{p.m's} \xrightarrow{v} M \iff \|M_n - \lambda\|_{\tilde{c}} \rightarrow 0$.

iii) Requires anti point is because l.f's are right-conti. $\therefore \lim_n F_n(x) = F(x-) \neq F(x)$. except for continuity. e.g. $\delta_{n1} \Rightarrow \delta_0$.

(2) Equi. Definition for vague convergence:

Thm. (Portmanteau).

The followings are equi.

i) X_n . r.v's $\rightarrow_{\text{v}} X$, i.e. $M_n \xrightarrow{v} M$. p.m's.

ii) $\liminf p(x_n \in A) \geq p(x \in A)$. $\forall A$. open.

$\limsup p(x_n \in K) \leq p(x \in K)$. $\forall K$. closed.

$\lim p(x_n \in A) = p(x \in A)$. for $p(x \in \partial A) = 0$.

iii) $E(g(x_n)) \rightarrow E(g(x))$. $\forall g \in C_b$.

iv) $E(g(x_n)) \rightarrow E(g(x))$. $\forall g \in C_K$.

v) $\varphi_{x_n} \rightarrow \varphi_x$. for ch.f's of δx_n . x .

Pf: i) \Rightarrow ii) By Skorokhod's Representation

$\exists Y_n \sim X_n \rightarrow Y \sim X$. a.s. Check: $\liminf I_a(Y_n) \geq I_a(Y)$. a.s.

Apply Fatou's Lemma:

$$p(x \in A) = E(I_A(Y)) \leq E(\liminf I_a(Y_n)) \leq \liminf p(x_n \in A).$$

For closed set. set $K^c = A$.

And Note that $\partial A = \bar{A} - A^\circ$. $\therefore p(\partial A) = p(\bar{A}) - p(A^\circ) = 0$

Apply above on \bar{A} . A° .

ii) \Rightarrow i). Choose $x \in C(M_X)$. $M_X(x) = 0$.

Let $A = (-\infty, x]$. it holds for $C(M_X)$!

i) \Rightarrow iii) By Skorokhod. DCT.

iii) \Rightarrow i). set $\gamma_{x,z} = \begin{cases} 1 & : z \leq x \\ 0 & : z > x \\ \text{linear} & : \text{others.} \end{cases}$ $I_{z \leq x} \geq I_{z < x+1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\lim} p(X_n \leq x) &\leq \bar{\lim} E(f_{X_n}(x_n)) = E(f_{X,b}(x)) \\ &\leq E(I_{\{X \leq x+\varepsilon\}}) = p(X \leq x+\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. $\therefore \bar{\lim} p(X_n \leq x) \leq p(X \leq x)$.

Converse is analogous. By $f_{X-a,c}$.

i) \Rightarrow iv) Approx by I_{a,b,c}. $a, b \in C_m$.

iv) \Rightarrow i). For $b, a \in C_m$, $f = I_{a,b,c} \in C_k$.

$$p(X_n \leq b) \geq p(a \leq X_n \leq b) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

$$\rightarrow p(a \leq X \leq b) \rightarrow p(X \leq b)$$

(Let $a \rightarrow -\infty$, through C_m)

Similarly, $p(X_n \geq b') \geq p(X \geq b') - \varepsilon$, for $b' > b$, $c \in$

$$\therefore p(X \leq b) - \varepsilon \leq p(X_n \leq b) \leq p(X_n \leq b') \leq p(X \leq b') + \varepsilon.$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, $b' \uparrow b$ through C_m .

Rmk: i) For iv), we can extend to C_0 . Since $\bar{C}_k = C_0$

ii) For s.p.m {M_n}, M, $M_n \xrightarrow{U} M \Leftrightarrow$ iv).

But may not iii).

iii) ii) is equi. with followings:

$$\forall f \text{ is l.s.c.} : \underline{\lim} E(f(X_n)) \geq E(f(X))$$

$$\forall f \text{ is u.s.c.} : \bar{\lim} E(f(X_n)) \leq E(f(X))$$

Pf: Note that for f l.s.c., $f \geq 0$.

$\exists f_n \in C_k$, $f_n \nearrow f$. And $-f$ is u.s.c.

Then prove general f. It holds

(3) Helly's Selection:

Lemma. h is bounded nondecreasing on $D_{\text{dense}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}'$.

Define: $F(x) = \lim_{y \in D, y \rightarrow x} g(y)$, $H(x) = \lim_{y \in D, y \rightarrow x^+} g(y)$.

- Then :
- $F(x)$ is left-conti. $\text{CCF} \ni C(h)$.
 $H(x)$ is right-conti. $\text{CCH} \ni C(h)$.
 - $\forall x \in C(h)$, $F(x) = g(x) = H(x)$.

Pf: It's easy to check along $C(h)$.

Thm. $\{M_n\}$ s.p.m's, $\exists \{M_{nk}\} \subseteq \{M_n\}$, st. $M_{nk} \xrightarrow{v} M$.

where M is also s.p.m.

Pf: Suppose $\{F_n\}$ are correspond l.f's of $\{M_n\}$.

Choose $D = \mathbb{Q}$. By diagonalisation method:

$\exists h(x) = \lim_k F_{kk}(x)$, $\forall x \in D$, nondecreasing.

Extend h for right-conti: $F(x) = \lim_{y \in D, y \rightarrow x^+} g(y)$.

Check $\forall x \in \text{CCF}$, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$. $\exists n_k$, k is large.

$$|F_{n_k}(x) - F(x)| \leq \varepsilon. \quad (\text{Note: } F(x) \geq h(x)).$$

Cor. If any vaguely convergent subseq $\{M_{nk}\}$ $\subseteq \{M_n\}$ s.p.m's $\rightarrow m$. Then $m \xrightarrow{v} M$.

Pf: By contradiction.

(4) Tightness

Def: $\{\mu_n\}$ s.p.m's is tight. if $H \geq 0$, $\exists M \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

s.t. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n[-M, M] \geq 1 - \delta$.

Thm. If $\exists \gamma_0$, $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, for $\{\mu_n\}$.

$$C = \sup_n \int \gamma(x) d\mu_n(x) = \sup_n E[\gamma(x_n)] < \infty.$$

Then $\{\mu_n\}$ is tight s.p.m's.

Pf: $C \geq \sup_n \int_{[-M, M]} \gamma(x) d\mu_n \geq \inf_{|x| \geq M} \gamma \cdot \mu_n[-M, M]$.

$$\therefore \mu_n[-M, M]^c \leq C / \inf_{|x| \geq M} \gamma \rightarrow 0.$$

Thm. $\{\mu_n\}$ p.m's $\xrightarrow{v} M$. Then M is p.m $\Leftrightarrow \{\mu_n\}$ is tight.

Pf: (\Rightarrow) By contradiction: $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$, $\{n_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$.

$\mu_{n_k}[-k, k]^c \geq \varepsilon_0$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, holds.

Choose $s, r \in C(M)$, $\exists k_j$, $s < -k_j < k_j < r$.

Then $\mu[s, r]^c \geq \varepsilon_0$, $\forall s, r \in C(M)$.

(\Leftarrow). Similarly, check $\mu[-M, M] \rightarrow 0$ ($M \rightarrow \infty$)

(4) Polya Thm.

Thm. If $F_n \cdot \lambda \cdot f \rightarrow F \cdot \lambda \cdot f$, conti. Then: we have:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_t |F_n(t) - F(t)| = 0, \text{ i.e. } F_n \xrightarrow{u} F, \text{ if } F \in C_b(\mathbb{R}).$$

Pf: Fix M, N large enough. St. $1 - F(N), F(-M) < \varepsilon$.

$$\sup_t |F_n - F| \leq \sup_{[-N, M]} |F_n + F| + \sup_{[-N, M]} |F_n - F| +$$

$$\sup_{[-N, M]} |1 - F_n + 1 - F|$$

$$= F_n(-m) + F(-m) + 1 - F_n(N) + 1 - F(N) + \sup_{[-m, N]} |F_n - F|$$

since $F_n \rightarrow F$. $\therefore F_n(-m) + 1 - F_n(N) < 2\epsilon$, for n large.

$$\text{prove: } \sup_{[-m, N]} |F_n - F| \rightarrow 0.$$

1') For $(x_n) \nearrow x$ or $(x_n) \searrow x$. $\Rightarrow F_n(x_n) \rightarrow F(x)$.

$$\text{Pf: } |F_n(x_n) - F(x)| \leq |F_n(x_n) - F_n(x)| + |F_n(x) - F(x)|$$

Fix no. st. $M_n(x_n, x) = \delta$. (Suppose $x_n \nearrow x$)

Since $M_n(x_n, x) \rightarrow M(x_n, x)$, $|F_n(x_n) - F_n(x)| \leq M_n(x_n, x)$

$$\therefore \lim_n |F_n(x_n) - F(x)| \leq \delta \cdot A \delta > 0.$$

2') By contradiction: if $\exists \epsilon_0 > 0$. $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{Z}^+$.

$$\sup_{[-m, N]} |F_{n_k} - F| \geq \epsilon_0. \text{ then } \exists (x_{n_k}) \subset [-m, N]. \text{ st. } \exists \text{ some } x.$$

$$|F_{n_k}(x_{n_k}) - F(x_{n_k})| \geq \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \quad \exists (\tilde{x}_{n_k}) \subset (x_{n_k}). \quad \tilde{x}_{n_k} \nearrow x \text{ or } \searrow x$$

\Rightarrow Let $n \rightarrow \infty$ first. Then $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

(5) Addition Topics:

① Stable convergence:

Def: $Y_n \rightarrow_\mu Y$. where Y_n are all on (r.g.p).

We say it's stable convergence if:

i) $\forall E \in \sigma$. continuity of Y . $\lim_n P(Y_n \in E) = \alpha_Y(E)$ exists.

ii) $\alpha_Y(E) \rightarrow p(E)$, $Y \rightarrow +\infty$.

Rmk: $P\{Y_n \geq Y_m \mid E\} = P\{Y_n \geq Y_m\} P\{E \mid Y_n \geq Y_m\} \Rightarrow$

It means the convergence depends on $\{Y_n\}$.

e.g. X, \bar{X} , i.i.d. nondegenerated. $Z_n = \begin{cases} X & n \text{ is odd} \\ \bar{X} & n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$

$\Rightarrow Z_n \xrightarrow{P} X$. But not stably.

check $E = \{X \geq Y\}$. $P\{Z_n \leq X, E\}$ diverges.

Def: Denote $E(XY) = \langle X, Y \rangle$. $\{Z_n\}$ in L' if the measurable. $\langle Z_n, \eta \rangle \rightarrow \langle Z, \eta \rangle$. We say it converges weakly in L' .

Thm. L' -convergence \Rightarrow weakly converge in $L' \Rightarrow$ u.i.

② Moment Problem:

Thm. If \exists unique l.f. F with moments $\{M^r\}_{r \geq 1}$ finite (F_n) seq of l.f.'s with finite moments $\{m_n^r\}$. And $\lim_n m_n^r = M^r$. Then $F_n \xrightarrow{w} F$.

Pf: Check on $\forall I_{[a,b]} \subseteq \{I_{[a,b]}\}$, which is vaguely convergent to an identical p.m. m .

$$1) \lim_k I_{[a,b]}[-A, A] \geq 1 - \frac{m_{nk}^2}{A^2} \rightarrow 1 - \frac{M^2}{A^2} \rightarrow 1.$$

$\therefore m_{nk} \rightarrow M$. m is p.m.

$$2) m_{nk}^r = \int x^r dM_{nk} \rightarrow \int x^r dm.$$

By unique correspond. F is l.f. of m .

Rmk: Recall: $M_x = M_Y$, m.g.f $\Leftrightarrow h_x^r = m_Y^r$, which are all finite. $\forall r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.